

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,267	04/05/2001	Hisao Furukawa	B-4132 618659-5	, 4340
36716 7	7590 08/16/2006		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY			LEVITAN, DMITRY	
5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679		E 2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/827,267	FURUKAWA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dmitry Levitan	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF ust be filed within one in the final rejection, whi	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and a set of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da b).	of the fee. The approprisinally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	• — • — •	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 381-386,392-394,398-400,402-406,41	ovided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicant's arguments filed 08/01/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The proposed amendment introduced new limitations into independent claims 398, 402, 406, 413, 419, 430, 431, 432 and 434, directed to using circuit identification code (CIC). This new issue will require new search.

The proposed amendment did not resolve all issues raised by the Examiner regarding the clarity of the claims.

For example:

the limitations "IP communication line" in claim 381 was not understood, as stated on the page 3 of the Office action of 5/12/06, because of the terminology "communication line" is not commonly used in packet networks;

the limitations directed to "this order", rejected on page 4 of the previous Office action, were corrected in claim 419, but were not addressed in claims 430 and 431;

the antecedent problems of claims 421 and 422, indicated on page 4 of the previous Office action, were not resolved.

These are only examples of the remaining problems with the clarity of the pending claims.

2